



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,925	06/04/2001	Joseph M. Silva	12-1109	4443	
32205	7590 10/05/20	5	EXAMINER		
PATTI & I	BRILL H LASALLE STREE	DEAN, RAYMOND S			
44TH FLOO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60602	2684			
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/873,925		SILVA, JOSEPH M.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Raymond S. Dear	n '	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COI 36(a). In no event, howev will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	MMUNICATION  ver, may a reply be time  IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	bly filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-finance except for form	mal matters, pros		e merits is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 6 - 9, 12, 14, and 16 - 24 is/are pendid 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 6 - 9, 12, 14, and 16 - 24 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 04 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from considera ed. r election requiren er. ) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held i	nent. n⊟ objected to b n abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 1	interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2684

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicants' assertion on Page 7  $2^{nd}$  Paragraph of the Remarks "DeMont does not provide teachings that correspond to the limitations of Claim 6, especially as to ...". DeMont teaches a wireless terminal that comprises the beacon (See Figures 10, 11). A user of said wireless terminal can therefore take an active role and beam or transmit the identifying signals, which are the call initiation requests, to another particular user of a wireless terminal (See Figure 10, Column 8 lines 12 - 17, lines 43 - 46).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6 7, 9, 12, 14, and 16 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeMont (US 6,351,640) in view of Beghtol et al. (US 6,253,075).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding Claim 6, DeMont teaches a method for initiating a phone call between first and second users, the method comprising the steps of: receiving a call initiation request from the first user representing a desire to establish a phone call with a specific second user identified by the first user (Figures 10, 11, Column 6 lines 23 – 59, Column 8 lines 12 – 17, lines 43 – 46, DeMont teaches a wireless terminal that comprises the beacon, a user of said wireless terminal can therefore take an active role and beam or transmit the identifying signals, which are the call initiation requests, to another particular user of a wireless terminal), the call initiation request including a primary phone number corresponding to a first user (Column 4 Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 – 59); and requesting an authorization from a second user to initiate the call by which the second user consents to the call (Column 6 lines 35 – 59, the alphanumeric tags displayed to the user is the request for authorization); dialing the primary phone number upon receiving the authorization from the second user (Column 6 lines 23 – 59).

DeMont does not teach storing the primary phone number to a computer readable memory and deleting the primary phone number from the memory.

Beghtol teaches storing the primary phone number to a computer readable memory and deleting the primary phone number from the memory (Column 5 lines 61 – 65, when there is an incoming call the caller id information will be displayed and thus stored in the display memory, said caller id information will be displayed for a finite period of time and then it will be deleted from the display and thus the display memory).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the memory and method taught in Beghtol in the mobile

Art Unit: 2684

device of DeMont for the purpose of enabling the user of said mobile device to obtain information about the origin of a call to said mobile device as taught by Beghtol.

Regarding Claim 19, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 6. Beghtol further teaches deleting the primary phone number from the memory after a predetermined period of time (Column 5 lines 61 – 65, when there is an incoming call the caller id information will be displayed and thus stored in the display memory, said caller id information will be displayed for a finite period of time and then it will be deleted from the display and thus the display memory).

Regarding Claim 22, DeMont a method for initiating a phone call between the first and second users, the method comprising the steps of: receiving a call initiation request from the first user representing a desire to establish a phone call with a specific second user identified by the first user (Figures 10, 11, Column 6 lines 23 – 59, Column 8 lines 12 – 17, lines 43 – 46, DeMont teaches a wireless terminal that comprises the beacon, a user of said wireless terminal can therefore take an active role and beam or transmit the identifying signals, which are the call initiation requests, to another particular user of a wireless terminal), the call initiation request including a primary phone number corresponding to a first user (Column 4 Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 – 59); requesting an authorization from a second user to initiate the call by which the second user consents to the call (Column 6 lines 35 – 59, the alphanumeric tags displayed to the user is the request for authorization).

Art Unit: 2684

DeMont does not teach storing the primary phone number to a computer readable memory and deleting the primary phone number from the memory upon receiving denial form the second user.

Beghtol teaches storing the primary phone number to a computer readable memory and deleting the primary phone number from the memory upon receiving denial form the second user (Column 5 lines 61 – 65, Column 6 lines 25 – 39, when there is an incoming call the caller id information will be displayed and thus stored in the display memory, when the user rejects the call the caller id information that is displayed will be deleted from the display and thus the display memory)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the memory and method taught in Beghtol in the mobile device of DeMont for the purpose of enabling the user of said mobile device to obtain information about the origin of a call to said mobile device as taught by Beghtol.

Regarding Claim 7, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 6. DeMont further teaches transmitting an authorization request to a wireless phone user interface (Column 6 lines 35 – 59).

Regarding Claims 9, 20, 23, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 6, 19, 22. DeMont further teaches receiving a line of sight signal, the line of sight signal including the call initiation request (Figure 2A, Column 4 lines 14 – 20, Column 6 lines 48 – 59, the fact that the beacon has a light emitting diode means that it has to be line of sight).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding Claim 12, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 6. Beghtol further teaches deleting the primary phone number from the memory upon receiving a denial from the second user (Column 6 lines 25 – 39, when the user rejects the call the caller id information that is displayed will be deleted from the display and thus the display memory).

Regarding Claim 14, DeMont teaches a wireless call management system comprising a request module for transmitting outgoing call initiation requests to a specific remote user based on a local request from a local user where the local user identifies the specific remote user with which a call is desired to be established (Figures 10, 11, Column 6 lines 23 – 59, Column 8 lines 12 – 17, lines 43 – 46, DeMont teaches a wireless terminal that comprises the beacon, a user of said wireless terminal can therefore take an active role and beam or transmit the identifying signals, which are the call initiation requests, to another particular user of a wireless terminal), the outgoing call initiation requests including a phone number corresponding to the local user (Column 4 Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 – 59); an initiation module for requesting authorizations from the local user based on incoming call initiation requests by which the local user consents to the call from a remote user, including phone numbers corresponding to the remote users (Figure 10, Column 4 Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 -59. Column 8 lines 44 – 47, a user of one of the mobile devices can receive requests from one of the other mobile devices, said requests will be displayed as alphanumeric tags), and a wireless phone user interface for generating the outgoing call initiation requests based on the local requests for initiation of phone calls (Figure 10, Column 4

Art Unit: 2684

Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 – 59, Column 8 lines 44 – 47), the user interface further transmitting authorization requests to the local user based on the incoming call initiation requests (Figure 10, Column 4 Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 – 59, Column 8 lines 44 – 47).

DeMont does not teach a computer readable memory for storing the phone numbers corresponding to the remote users, the initiation module further operable to store the phone numbers associated with the incoming call initiation requests in the computer readable memory and to delete the phone number from the computer readable memory at a subsequent time.

Beghtol further teaches a computer readable memory for storing the phone numbers corresponding to the remote users, storing the phone numbers associated with the incoming call in the computer readable memory and to delete the phone number from the computer readable memory at a subsequent time (Column 5 lines 61 – 65, when there is an incoming call the caller id information will be displayed and thus stored in the display memory, said caller id information will be displayed for a finite period of time and then it will be deleted from the display and thus the display memory)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the memory and method taught in Beghtol in the mobile device of DeMont for the purpose of enabling the user of said mobile device to obtain information about the origin of a call to said mobile device as taught by Beghtol.

Regarding Claim 16, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 14. DeMont further teaches a signaling system for

Art Unit: 2684

transmitting the outgoing call initiation requests and receiving the incoming call initiation requests (Figure 10, Column 4 Table 1, Column 6 lines 23 – 59, Column 8 lines 44 – 47).

Regarding Claim 17, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 16. DeMont further teaches wherein the signaling system transmits and receives line of sight signals that enable the local user to specifically identify the remote user with which a call is desired to be established (Figure 2A, 10, 11, Column 4 lines 14 – 20, Column 6 lines 12 – 17, lines 43 – 46).

Regarding Claim 18, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 17. DeMont further teaches an infrared transceiver for transmitting and receiving infrared signals (Figure 2A, Column 4 lines 14 – 20).

Regarding Claims 21, 24, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 19, 22. DeMont further teaches dialing the primary phone number upon receiving the authorization (Column 6 lines 23 – 59)

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeMont (US 6,351,640) in view of Beghtol et al. (US 6,253,075 B1), as applied to Claim 6 above, and further in view of Phillips (US 2002/0173297 A1).

Regarding Claim 8, DeMont in view of Beghtol teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 6. DeMont in view of Beghtol does not teach transmitting an authorization request to a landline connection.

Phillips teaches transmitting a signal to a landline connection (Section 0013, the call can be forwarded to a landline connection at work).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the call forwarding feature taught in Phillips in the mobile phone of DeMont in view of Beghtol such that a user of said mobile phone can be reached on a land line at work or at home.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-2:30.

Art Unit: 2684

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay A. Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond S. Dean September 20, 2005

EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.